	Case 1:23-cv-01782-KES-EPG Documen	t 41 Filed 10/15/25	Page 1 of 3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	JONATHAN MARQUEZ,	Case No. 1:23-cv-017	82-KES-EPG (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRIN FROM PARTIES R	IG STATEMENTS
11		SCHEDULE AND D	
12	v.	THIRTY (30) DAY	DEADLINE
13			
14	ORTIZ, et al.,		
15	Defendant(s).		
16			
17	The Court has screened Plaintiff's complaint and has ordered the case to proceed. Before		
18	scheduling this case, the Court will require each party to submit a statement regarding the		
19	schedule and discovery matters.		
20	The statements regarding the schedule and discovery shall be filed within thirty days from		
21	the date of service of this order. They should be filed with the Court, titled "SCHEDULING		
22	AND DISCOVERY STATEMENT," and include the name of the party filing the statement.		
23	They shall address all of the following issues:		
24	i. A brief summary of the parties' claims and/or defenses.		
25	ii. The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each witness,		
26	besides expert witnesses, the party may call at trial.		
27	iii. A description by category and location of all documents the party may use at		
28	trial.		
		1	

1 iv. Whether any third parties, other than Plaintiff's institution of confinement, are 2 likely to have relevant documents. 3 v. Whether the party intends to use expert witnesses. 4 If a settlement conference has not occurred, when the party will be prepared to vi. 5 participate in a settlement conference. 6 Defendant's Scheduling and Discovery Statement shall also address all of the following 7 issues: 8 vii. Whether a third party subpoena directed at Plaintiff's institution of 9 confinement will be necessary to obtain relevant documents. viii. 10 Whether Defendant(s) intend to challenge the issue of exhaustion and, if so, when Defendant(s) will be ready to file a motion for summary judgment 11 regarding the issue of exhaustion. 12 Whether witness statements and/or evidence were generated from 13 ix. investigation(s) related to the event(s) at issue in the complaint, such as an 14 investigation stemming from the processing of Plaintiff's grievance(s).¹ 15 Whether there are any video recordings or photographs related to the 16 Χ. 17 incident(s) at issue in the complaint, including video recordings and photographs of Plaintiff taken following the incident(s). 18 Whether Defendant(s) intend to argue that Defendant(s) are not properly 19 xi. named because they are not the individual(s) responsible for the action(s) 20 21 described in the complaint (i.e., someone else did or is responsible for the 22 action(s) alleged in the complaint). 23 /// 24 /// /// 25 26 ¹ See Woodford v. Ngo, 548 U.S. 81, 94-95 (2006) ("[P]roper exhaustion improves the quality of those prisoner suits that are eventually filed because proper exhaustion often results in the creation of an administrative 27

Document 41

Filed 10/15/25

Page 2 of 3

Case 1:23-cv-01782-KES-EPG

28

record that is helpful to the court. When a grievance is filed shortly after the event giving rise to the grievance, witnesses can be identified and questioned while memories are still fresh, and evidence can be gathered and preserved.").

	Case 1:23-cv-01782-KES-EPG Document 41 Filed 10/15/25 Page 3 of 3
1	Finally, any party may also include any information that the party believes would assist in
2	discovery and/or scheduling the case.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: October 15, 2025 /s/ Encir P. Story
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	3